1 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARTINEZ AYTCH	•	
	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:14-cv-00139-GMN-CWH
VS.	•	ORDER
JAMES G. COX, et al.,	•	
	Defendants.	) ) )

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Martinez Aytch's Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit (doc. # 15), filed September 24, 2014.

A Petitioner does not have a right to free photocopying. <u>Johnson v. Moore</u>, 948 F.2d 517, 521 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Sands v. Lewis</u>, 886 F.2d 1166, 1169 (9th Cir.1989), <u>overruled on other grounds by Silva v. Di Vittorio</u>, 658 F.3d 1090 (9th Cir. 2011) (stating "numerous courts have rejected any constitutional right to free and unlimited photocopying"). Under Administrative Regulations 722, "inmates can only accrue a maximum of \$100.00 debt for copy work expenses." NDOC-AR 722.01(7). However, a court "can... order a prison to provide limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to provide copies to the court and other parties." <u>Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det.</u> <u>Ctr.</u>, No. 2: 10-CV-00857-RLH, 2011 WL 886343, at \*2 (D. Nev. Mar. 11, 2011).

In the instant motion, Plaintiff states that he has exceeded his prison copy work limit and requests that the Court order the Nevada Department of Correction to increase his limit. Doc. # 15. However, Plaintiff fails to identify those documents he needs copied. Nevada prisons also provide inmates with carbon paper to enable inmates to reproduce writings without the use of photocopies, see NDOC-AR 722.01(7), and Plaintiff fails to explain why this resource is inadequate for his purposes. As such, Plaintiff fails to demonstrate that a copy work increase is necessary.

## Case 2:14-cv-00139-GMN-CWH Document 16 Filed 09/25/14 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Martinez Aytch's Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit (# 15) is **denied**. DATED: September 25, 2014 United States Magistrate Judge